arXiv:1410.1831v1 [math.AP] 7 Oct 2014

RIGIDITY RESULTS FOR STABLE SOLUTIONS OF SYMMETRIC SYSTEMS

Mostafa Fazly[1]
Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, 632 CAB, University of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G1
e-mail: fazly@ualberta.ca

Abstract. We study stable solutions of the following nonlinear system

$$-\Delta u = H(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

where $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $H : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and Ω is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We introduce the novel notion of symmetric systems. The above system is said to be symmetric if the matrix of gradient of all components of H is symmetric. It seems that this concept is crucial to prove Liouville theorems, when $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, and regularity results, when $\Omega = B_1$, for stable solutions of the above system for a general nonlinearity $H \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$. Moreover, we provide an improvement for a linear Liouville theorem given in [20] that is a key tool to establish De Giorgi type results in lower dimensions for elliptic equations and systems.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J60, 35B35, 35B32, 35D10, 35J20

Keywords: Elliptic systems, Liouville theorems, stable solutions, radial solutions, regularity theory.

1. Introduction

We examine the following semilinear elliptic system of equations

$$-\Delta u = H(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \tag{1}$$

where $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $H : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$. We use the notation $u = (u_1, \cdots, u_m)$, $H(u) = (H_1(u), \cdots, H_m(u))$ and $\partial_j H_i(u) = \frac{\partial H_i(u)}{\partial u_j}$ where $\partial_i H_j(u)\partial_j H_i(u) \geq 0$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. We are interested in the qualitative properties of radial stable solutions of (1) when $H \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is a general function. Here is the notion of stability.

Definition 1.1. *A solution $u = (u_i)_i$ of (1) is said to be stable when there is positive solution $\zeta = (\zeta_i)_i$ for the following linearized system*

$$-\Delta \zeta_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_j H_i(u)\zeta_j \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2}$$

for all $i = 1, \cdots, m$.

For the case of $m = 1$, equation (1) turns into the scalar equation that is studied extensively in the literature. As it is shown by Dupaigne and Farina in [14], any classical bounded stable solution of (1) is constant provided $1 \leq n \leq 4$ and $0 \leq$

[1]The author is pleased to acknowledge the support of University of Alberta Start-up Grant RES0019810 and National Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Discovery Grant RES0020463.

$H \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ is a general nonlinearity. For particular nonlinearities $H(u) = e^u$ and $H(u) = u^p$ where $p > 1$ the differential equation (1) is called Gelfand and Lane-Emden equations, respectively, and optimal Liouville theorems are provided by Farina in [18, 19]. In addition, when $H(u) = -u^{-p}$ for $p > 0$ the equation (1) is known as the Lane-Emden equation with negative exponent nonlinearity and optimal Liouville theorems are given by Esposito-Ghoussoub-Guo in [16, 17]. Critical dimensions for Liouville theorems are

- $1 \leq n < 10$,
- $1 \leq n < 2 + \frac{4}{p-1}(p + \sqrt{p(p-1)})$
- $1 \leq n < 2 + \frac{4}{p+1}(p + \sqrt{p(p+1)})$

for the equations of Gelfand, Lane-Emden and Lane-Emden with negative exponent nonlinearity, respectively. Note that these dimensions are much higher than the fourth dimensions that is known for the case of general nonlinearity. Let us mention that various equations with a singular nonlinear term in the case of singular equations have been studied in the book of Ghergu and Radulescu [21].

For radial solutions, it is proved by Cabré-Capella [7, 8] and Villegas [29] that any bounded radial stable solution of (1) has to be constant provided $1 \leq n < 10$ when $H \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ is a general nonlinearity. This is an optimal Liouville theorem.

Note that for the case of systems, that is when $m \geq 1$, a counterpart of the Dupaigne-Farina's Liouville theorem is given by Ghoussoub and the author in [20] for gradient systems that is where there exists a $\mathcal{H} : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $H = \nabla \mathcal{H}$. It is in fact shown that if all components of H are nonnegative, then any bounded stable solution of the system (1) is necessarily constant provided $1 \leq n \leq 4$.

In this paper, regarding Liouville theorems, we first provide an extension for a linear Liouville theorem that is proved by Ghoussoub and the author in [20]. This Liouville theorem is a key tool in proving De Giorgi type results in lower dimensions for both systems and scalar equations. Then we apply this Liouville theorem to establish a Liouville theorem in lower dimensions $1 \leq n \leq 4$ for elliptic symmetric systems (1) that is when the matrix of gradient of all components of H is symmetric. In addition, for radial stable solutions, we prove Liouville theorems and pointwise estimates for elliptic system (1) where $H = (H_i)_i$ for $H_i \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, is a general nonlinearity. As in the scalar case, the critical dimension is $n = 10$.

Roughly speaking, there is a correspondence between the regularity of stable solutions on bounded domains and the Liouville theorems for stable solutions on $\mathbb{R}^n$, via rescaling and a blow up procedure. Consider a counterpart of system (1) with the Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u &= \Lambda H(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where $\Lambda = (\lambda_i)_i$ is a positive sequence of parameters and Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Similarly, a solution u of (3) is said to be a stable solution if the linearized operator has a positive first eigenvalue. The regularity of stable solutions depends on the dimension n, domain Ω and also the nonlinearity H. We refer the interested readers to the work of Montenegro [25] for the notion of stability for the case of $m = 2$.

For the case of $m = 1$ and for explicit nonlinearities $H(u) = e^u$, $H(u) = (1+u)^p$ where $p > 1$ and $H(u) = (1-u)^{-p}$ where $0 < u < 1$ and $p > 0$, regularity of stable solutions and extremal solutions are now quite well understood, see for

instance [4–9, 12, 16, 17, 27–30] and references therein. It is well known that there exists a critical parameter $\Lambda^* \in (0, \infty)$, called the extremal parameter, such that for all $0 < \Lambda < \Lambda^*$ there exists a smooth, minimal solution u_Λ of (3). Here the minimal solution means in the pointwise sense. In addition for each $x \in \Omega$ the map $\Lambda \mapsto u_\Lambda(x)$ is increasing in $(0, \Lambda^*)$. This allows one to define the pointwise limit $u^*(x) := \lim_{\Lambda \nearrow \Lambda^*} u_\Lambda(x)$ which can be shown to be a weak solution, in a suitably defined sense, of (3). For this reason u^* is called the extremal solution. It is also known that for $\Lambda > \Lambda^*$ there are no weak solutions of (3). Also one can show the minimal solution u_Λ is a stable solution of (3). Consider a general nonlinearity $H \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ that satisfies

(R) H is smooth, increasing and convex with $H(0) = 1$ and H superlinear at ∞.

Brezis and Vázquez [5] raised the question of determining the boundedness of u^*, for general nonlinearities H that satisfies (R). Nedev in [27] showed that the extremal solution of (1) is bounded provided $1 \le n \le 3$ when general nonlinearity H satisfies (R). The best known result on the regularity of extremal solutions for a general nonlinearity H that satisfies (R) (no convexity on H is imposed) was established by Cabré in [6] via geometric-type Sobolev inequalities provided $1 \le n \le 4$ and Ω is a convex domain. In this dimension, the convexity of the domain Ω was relaxed by Villegas in [30], however convexity of H was assumed. We also refer interested readers to [9] where regularity of stable solutions are proved up to seven dimensions in domains of double revolution.

For the case of systems, i.e. $m \ge 1$, Cowan and the author in [11] proved that the extremal solution of (1) when Ω is a convex domain is regular provided $1 \le n \le 3$, $m = 2$ and $H_1(u_1, u_2) = f'(u_1)g(u_2)$ and $H_2(u_1, u_2) = f(u_1)g'(u_2)$ for general nonlinearities $f, g \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ that satisfy (R). This can be seen as a counterpart of the Nedev's result for elliptic gradient systems. For explicit nonlinearities $f(u_1) = (u_1+1)^p, g(u_2) = (u_2+1)^q$ where $p, q > 2$, regularity of extremal solution is provided in dimensions

$$1 \le n < 2 + \frac{4}{p+q-2} \max\{t_+(p-1), t_+(q-1)\} \text{ where } t_+(\alpha) = \alpha + \sqrt{\alpha(\alpha-1)}.$$

For the Gelfand system, regularity of the extremal solutions is given by Cowan in [10] and by Dupaigne-Farina-Sirakov in [15]. For radial solutions, it is also shown in [11] that stable solutions are regular in dimensions $1 \le n < 10$ when $m = 2$ and $H_1(u_1, u_2) = f'(u_1)g(u_2)$ and $H_2(u_1, u_2) = f(u_1)g'(u_2)$ for general nonlinearities $f, g \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ that satisfy (R). This is a counterpart of the regularity result of Cabré-Cappella [7] and Villegas [29] for elliptic gradient systems.

Regarding regularity results, we provide an extension of the regularity results given by Cowan and the author in [11] to symmetric systems of the form (1) where $H = (H_i)_i$ for each $H_i \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is a general nonlinearity. In the next section, we state the notion of symmetric systems. Then in Section 3, we provide Liouville theorems for system (1) and also the linearized system. Finally, in Section 4, we shall prove regularity results for elliptic system (1).

2. The notion of symmetric systems

Here is the notion of the symmetric systems.

Definition 2.1. *We call system (1) symmetric if the matrix of gradient of all components of H that is*

$$\mathbb{H} := (\partial_i H_j(u))_{i,j=1}^m$$

is symmetric.

Note that when $m = 1$ then system (1) is clearly symmetric. Let us start with the following stability inequality that plays an important role in this paper. For the case of systems, see [11, 15, 20] for similar stability inequalities on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and on a bounded domain Ω.

Lemma 2.1. *Let u denote a stable solution of (1). Then*

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m \int \sqrt{\partial_j H_i(u)\partial_i H_j(u)}\phi_i\phi_j \le \sum_{i=1}^m \int |\nabla \phi_i|^2, \tag{4}$$

for any $\phi = (\phi_i)_i^m$ where $\phi_i \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support and $1 \le i \le m$.

Proof. From Definition 1.1, there is a sequence $\zeta = (\zeta_i)_i^m$ such that $0 < \zeta_i$ and

$$-\Delta \zeta_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j H_i(u)\zeta_j \quad \text{for all} \quad i = 1, \cdots, m.$$

Consider test function $\phi = (\phi_i)_i^m$ where $\phi_i \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support and multiply both sides of the above inequalities with $\frac{\phi_i^2}{\zeta_i}$ to obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \int \partial_j H_i(u)\zeta_j \frac{\phi_i^2}{\zeta_i} \le \int -\frac{\Delta \zeta_i}{\zeta_i}\phi_i^2.$$

Note that from the Young's inequality it is straightforward to see

$$\int -\frac{\Delta \zeta_i}{\zeta_i}\phi_i^2 \le \int |\nabla \phi_i|^2 \quad \text{for all} \quad i = 1, \cdots, m.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j=1}^m \int \partial_j H_i(u)\zeta_j \frac{\phi_i^2}{\zeta_i} &= \sum_{i<j}^m \int \partial_j H_i(u)\zeta_j \frac{\phi_i^2}{\zeta_i} + \sum_{i>j}^n \int \partial_j H_i(u)\zeta_j \frac{\phi_i^2}{\zeta_i} + \int \partial_i H_i(u)\phi_i^2 \\
&= \sum_{i<j}^m \int \partial_j H_i(u)\zeta_j \frac{\phi_i^2}{\zeta_i} + \sum_{i<j}^m \int \partial_i H_j(u)\zeta_i \frac{\phi_j^2}{\zeta_j} + \int \partial_i H_i(u)\phi_i^2 \\
&= \sum_{i<j}^m \int \left(\partial_j H_i(u)\zeta_j \frac{\phi_i^2}{\zeta_i} + \partial_i H_j(u)\zeta_i \frac{\phi_j^2}{\zeta_j} \right) + \int \partial_i H_i(u)\phi_i^2 \\
&\ge 2\sum_{i<j}^m \int \sqrt{\partial_j H_i(u)\partial_i H_j(u)}\phi_i\phi_j + \int \partial_i H_i(u)\phi_i^2 \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^m \int \sqrt{\partial_j H_i(u)\partial_i H_j(u)}\phi_i\phi_j.
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. □

For radial solutions of elliptic systems (1), the following stability inequality holds.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that u is a radial stable solution of (1). Then*

$$(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{u_i'^2(|x|)}{|x|^2}\phi^2(x)dx \le \sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_i'^2(|x|)|\nabla\phi(x)|^2dx \tag{5}$$

$$+\sum_{i,j=1}^{m}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(\partial_j H_i(u)-\sqrt{\partial_j H_i(u)\partial_i H_j(u)}\right)u_i'(|x|)u_j'(|x|)\phi^2(x)dx \tag{6}$$

for all $\phi\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support.

Proof. Taking derivative of (1) with respect to r gives

$$-\Delta u_i'+\frac{n-1}{r^2}u_i'=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\partial_j H_i(u)u_j' \quad \text{for} \quad 0<r<1 \quad \text{and} \quad i=1,\cdots,m. \tag{7}$$

Multiply the i^{th} equation of (7) with $u_i'\phi^2$ for all $\phi\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support gives

$$\int|\nabla u_i'|^2\phi^2+\frac{1}{2}\nabla u_i'^2\cdot\nabla\phi^2+\frac{n-1}{r^2}u_i'^2\phi^2=\int\sum_{j=1}^{m}\partial_j H_i(u)u'_j u_i'\phi^2 \tag{8}$$

for all $0<r<1$ and $i=1,\cdots,m$. On the other hand, testing (4) on $\phi_i=u_i'\phi$ where ϕ is the same test function as above then we get

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{m}\int\sqrt{\partial_j H_i(u)\partial_i H_j(u)}u_i'u_j'\phi^2\le\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int|\nabla(u_i'\phi)|^2. \tag{9}$$

Expanding the right-hand side we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int|\nabla(u_i'\phi)|^2=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int|\nabla u_i'|^2\phi^2+u_i'^2|\nabla\phi|^2+\frac{1}{2}\nabla\phi^2\cdot\nabla u_i'^2. \tag{10}$$

From (8) we get the following equality for part of the right-hand side of (10)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int|\nabla u_i'|^2\phi^2+\frac{1}{2}\nabla\phi^2\cdot\nabla u_i'^2=\sum_{i,j=1}^{m}\int\partial_j H_i(u)u'_j u_i'\phi^2-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int\frac{n-1}{r^2}u_i'^2\phi^2. \tag{11}$$

Now from (9), (10) and (11) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j=1}^{m}\int\sqrt{\partial_j H_i(u)\partial_i H_j(u)}u_i'u_j'\phi^2 \;\le\; &\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int u_i'^2|\nabla\phi|^2+\sum_{i,j=1}^{m}\int\partial_j H_i(u)u'_j u_i'\phi^2\\ &-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int\frac{n-1}{r^2}u_i'^2\phi^2.\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 1. *Note that for symmetric systems the tail of the inequality (5) that is (6) vanishes. Therefore, the nonlinearity H does not appear in the stability inequality for symmetric systems. Applying this inequality to a radial test function $\phi(|x|)$ one can see that*

$$(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_0^\infty u_i'^2(t)\phi^2(t)t^{n-3}dt\le\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_0^\infty u_i'^2(t)\phi'(t)^2t^{n-1}dt \tag{12}$$

where $\phi\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)\cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is a compactly supported test function.

3. Liouville theorems for symmetric systems

In this section, we provide Liouville theorems for a linearized elliptic system associated to (1), then we establish an optimal Liouville theorem for radial stable solutions of (1) with a general nonlinearity H.

3.1. **A Liouville theorem for the linearized system.** Suppose that u is a H-monotone solution of symmetric system (1). A solution $u = (u_k)_{k=1}^m$ of (1) is said to be *H-monotone* if the following hold:

(i) For every $1 \leq i \leq m$, each u_i is strictly monotone in the x_n-variable (i.e., $\partial_n u_i \neq 0$).
(ii) For $i \leq j$, we have

$$\partial_j H_i(u)\partial_n u_i(x)\partial_n u_j(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{13}$$

See [20] for more details. Let $\phi_i := \partial_n u_i$ and $\psi_i := \nabla u_i \cdot \eta$ for any fixed $\eta = (\eta', 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}$ in such a way that $\sigma_i := \frac{\psi_i}{\phi_i}$. Then $(\phi_i)_i$ and $(\psi_i)_i$ satisfy (2). Straightforward calculations show that for H-monotone solutions we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i \operatorname{div}(\phi_i^2 \nabla \sigma_i) &= \sum_{i,j} \phi_i\phi_j\partial_j H_i(u)\sigma_i(\sigma_i - \sigma_j) \\
&= \sum_{i<j} \phi_i\phi_j\partial_j H_i(u)\sigma_i(\sigma_i - \sigma_j) + \sum_{i>j} \phi_i\phi_j\partial_j H_i(u)\sigma_i(\sigma_i - \sigma_j) \\
&= \sum_{i<j} \phi_i\phi_j\partial_j H_i(u)\sigma_i(\sigma_i - \sigma_j) + \sum_{i<j} \phi_i\phi_j\partial_j H_i(u)\sigma_j(\sigma_j - \sigma_i) \\
&= \sum_{i<j} \phi_i\phi_j\partial_j H_i(u)(\sigma_i - \sigma_j)^2 \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In what follows we prove a Liouville theorem for this differential inequality. Note that for $m = 1$ this type of Liouville theorem was noted by Berestycki, Caffarelli and Nirenberg in [3] and used by Ghoussoub-Gui [22] and later by Ambrosio and Cabré [2] to prove the De Giorgi's conjecture [13] in dimensions two and three. See also [26]. For the case of $m \geq 1$ this improves a linear Liouville theorem that is proved by Ghoussoub and the author in [20] and applied to establish De Giorgi type results for elliptic systems. Note that the proof of the De Giorgi's conjecture for a general nonlinearity provided by Ghoussoub-Gui [22] and Alberti, Ambrosio and Cabré [1] for dimensions two and three, respectively.

Consider the set of functions with a limited growth at infinity as

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ F : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+, F \text{ is nondecreasing and } \int_2^\infty \frac{dr}{rF(r)} = \infty \right\}.$$

In particular, $F(r) = \log r$ belongs to this class and $F(r) = r$ does not belong to $\mathcal{F}$. As far as we know, this class of functions was considered by Karp [23, 24] for the first time. Here is the Liouville theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that each $(\phi_i)_{i=1}^m \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ does not change sign in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $(\sigma_i)_{i=1}^m \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is such that*

$$\limsup_{R\to\infty} \frac{1}{R^2 F(R)} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R} \phi_i^2\sigma_i^2 < \infty, \tag{14}$$

for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose also that $(\sigma_i)_i$ is a solution of

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sigma_i \operatorname{div}(\phi_i^2 \nabla \sigma_i) \geq 0 \quad in \ \ \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{15}$$

Then, for all $i = 1, ..., m$, the functions σ_i are constant.

Proof. Since $(\sigma_i)_i$ satisfies (15), straightforward calculations show that

$$\operatorname{div}(\phi_i^2 \sigma_i \nabla \sigma_i) = |\nabla \sigma_i|^2 \phi_i^2 + \sigma_i \operatorname{div}(\phi_i^2 \nabla \sigma_i). \tag{16}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |\nabla \sigma_i|^2 \phi_i^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{div}(\phi_i^2 \sigma_i \nabla \sigma_i). \tag{17}$$

Integrating both sides we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \int_{B_R} |\nabla \sigma_i|^2 \phi_i^2 &\leq \sum_i \int_{B_R} \operatorname{div}(\phi_i^2 \sigma_i \nabla \sigma_i) = \sum_i \int_{\partial B_R} \phi_i^2 \sigma_i \nabla \sigma_i \cdot \eta \\ &\leq \sum_i \int_{\partial B_R} \phi_i^2 |\sigma_i| |\nabla \sigma_i| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_i \int_{\partial B_R} (\phi_i \sigma_i)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_i \int_{\partial B_R} |\nabla \sigma_i|^2 \phi_i^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

If all σ_i for $i = 1, \cdots, m$ are not constant, then there exists $R_0 > 0$ such that $D(R) > 0$ for every $R > R_0$ and

$$D(R) \leq D'(R)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\partial B_R} \sum_i (\phi_i \sigma_i)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{18}$$

where $D(R) := \sum_i \int_{B_R} |\nabla \sigma_i|^2 \phi_i^2$. Integrating (18) and using the Schwarz inequality we get that for $r_2 > r_1 > R_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (r_2 - r_1)^2 \left(\int_{B_{r_2} \setminus B_{r_1}} \sum_i (\phi_i \sigma_i)^2 \right)^{-1} &\leq \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \left(\int_{\partial B_R} \sum_i (\phi_i \sigma_i)^2 \right)^{-1} dR \\ &\leq \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{D'(R)}{D^2(R)} dR \\ &= \frac{1}{D(r_1)} - \frac{1}{D(r_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, take $r_2 = 2^{k+1} r_0$ and $r_1 = 2^k r_0$ for fixed $r_0 > R_0$ and $k \geq 0$. From (14) we get that $D(r_0) = 0$ for $r_0 > R_0$ which is a contradiction.

□

Here is a Liouville theorem that is an application of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that $u = (u_i)_i$ is bounded stable solution of symmetric system (1) where $H = (H_i)_i$ for $0 \leq H_i \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $m \geq 1$. Then each u_i is constant provided $1 \leq n \leq 4$.*

Proof. Multiply both sides of system (1) with $(u_i - ||u_i||_\infty)\phi^2$ where ϕ is a test function. Since $H_i(u)(u_i - ||u_i||_\infty) \leq 0$ we have

$$-\Delta u_i(u_i - ||u_i||_\infty)\phi^2 \leq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{19}$$

After an integration by parts, we end up with

$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla u_i|^2\phi^2 \leq 2\int_{B_R} |\nabla u_i||\nabla\phi|(||u_i||_\infty - u_i)\phi \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq m. \tag{20}$$

Using Young's inequality and adding we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_{B_R} |\nabla u_i|^2 \leq R^{n-2}. \tag{21}$$

Now one can apply Theorem 3.1 to quotients of partial derivatives to obtain that each u_i is one dimensional solutions as long as $n \leq 4$. Note that u_i is a bounded solution for (19) in dimension one, and the corresponding decay estimate (21) now implies that u_i must be constant for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.

□

Inspired by De Giorgi type results given in [20], we have the following immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that $u = (u_i)_i$ is a H-monotone solution of symmetric orientable system (1) where $H = (H_i)_i$ for $H_i \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $m \geq 1$. Then each u_i is a one dimensional function provided $1 \leq n \leq 3$.*

Proof. We omit the proof, since it is closely related to the proofs provided in [20]. □

3.2. **Nonlinear Liouville theorems for radial solutions.** For radial stable solutions of symmetric systems (1) the following Liouville theorem and pointwise estimates hold. Note that when $n \geq 1$, then we have $2 - \frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{n-1} < 0$ if and only if $n > 10$. So, the dimension $n = 10$ is the critical dimension as this is the case for the scalar equation, i. e. $m = 1$. The methods of proof that we apply here are strongly motivated by ideas given by Cabré-Capella in [7] and Villegas in [29].

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that $n \geq 2$, $m \geq 1$, $H \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and u is a radial stable solution of symmetric system (1). Then, there exist positive constants r_0 and $C_{n,m}$ such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |u_i(r)| \geq C_{n,m}\begin{cases} r^{2-\frac{n}{2}+\sqrt{n-1}}, & \text{if } n \neq 10, \\ \log r, & \text{if } n = 10, \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

where $r \geq r_0$ and $C_{n,m}$ is independent from r. In addition, assuming that each u_i is bounded for $1 \leq i \leq m$, then $n > 10$ and there is a constant $C_{n,m}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |u_i(r) - u_i^\infty| \geq C_{n,m} r^{2-\frac{n}{2}+\sqrt{n-1}}, \tag{23}$$

where $r \geq 1$ and $u_i^\infty := \lim_{r\to\infty} u_i(r)$ for each i.

Proof. Suppose that u is a radial stable solution of symmetric system (1). Then apply Lemma 2.2 for the following test function $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$

$$\phi(t) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 1; \\ t^{-\sqrt{n-1}}, & \text{if } 1 \le t \le r; \\ \frac{r^{-\sqrt{n-1}}}{\int_r^R \frac{dz}{z^{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^m u_i'^2(z)}} \int_t^R \frac{dz}{z^{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^m u_i'^2(z)}, & \text{if } r \le t \le R; \\ 0, & \text{if } R \le t, \end{cases}$$

for any $1 \le r \le R$. By straightforward calculations for the given test function ϕ, the left-hand side of (12) has the following lower bound,

$$(n-1)\int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^m u_i'^2(t)t^{n-3}dt + (n-1)\int_1^r \sum_{i=1}^m u_i'^2(t)t^{-2\sqrt{n-1}+n-3}dt. \tag{24}$$

On the other hand, since

$$\phi'(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \le t < 1; \\ -\sqrt{n-1}t^{-\sqrt{n-1}-1}, & \text{if } 1 < t < r; \\ -\frac{r^{-\sqrt{n-1}}}{\int_r^R \frac{dz}{z^{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^m u_i'^2(z)}} \frac{1}{t^{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^m u_i'^2(t)}, & \text{if } r \le t \le R; \\ 0, & \text{if } R \le t, \end{cases}$$

the right-hand side of (12) is the same as the following

$$(n-1)\int_1^r t^{-2\sqrt{n-1}+n-3}\sum_{i=1}^m u_i'^2(t)dt + \frac{r^{-2\sqrt{n-1}}}{\int_r^R \frac{dz}{z^{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^m u_i'^2(z)}}. \tag{25}$$

Hence, equating (24) and (25) we get

$$\int_r^R \frac{ds}{s^{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^m u_i'^2(s)} \le C_{n,m} r^{-2\sqrt{n-1}} \quad \forall 1 \le r \le R, \tag{26}$$

where $C_{n,m} := \left((n-1)\int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^m u_i'^2(t)t^{n-3}dt\right)^{-1}$. Note that the constant $C_{n,m}$ does not depend on r, R. Applying the Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_r^R \frac{ds}{s^{\frac{n-1}{3}}} &= \int_r^R \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^m u_i'^2(s)\right)^{1/3}}{s^{\frac{n-1}{3}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^m u_i'^2(s)\right)^{1/3}} ds \\ &\le \left(\int_r^R \frac{ds}{s^{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^m u_i'^2(s)}\right)^{1/3} \left(\int_r^R \left(\sum_{i=1}^m u_i'^2(s)\right)^{1/2} ds\right)^{2/3}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

From (26) and the fact that $||z||_{l^2} \le ||z||_{l^1}$ for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$, we have

$$\int_r^R \frac{ds}{s^{\frac{n-1}{3}}} \le C_{n,m} r^{-\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{n-1}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \int_r^R |u_i'(s)|ds\right)^{2/3}. \tag{28}$$

Computing the integral in the left-hand side of (28) and taking $R = 2r$, for any $n \ge 2$, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |u_i(2r) - u_i(r)| \ge C_{n,m} r^{2-\frac{n}{2}+\sqrt{n-1}}. \tag{29}$$

Finally assuming that u is bounded, from (29) we conclude

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}|u_i(r)-u_i^{\infty}|=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|u_i(2^kr)-u_i(2^{k-1}r)|\geq C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(2^{k-1}r)^{2-\frac{n}{2}+\sqrt{n-1}}. \tag{30}$$

This proves the second part of the theorem that is (23) and $n>10$. To prove the first part of the theorem that is (22), without loss of generality, we assume that $2\leq n\leq 10$. Define $r=2^{k-1}r_1$ where $1\leq r_1<2$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{m}|u_i(r)| &= \sum_{i=1}^{m}|u_i(r)-u_i(r_1)|-\sum_{i=1}^{m}|u_i(r_1)|\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}|u_i(2^jr_1)-u_i(2^{j-1}r_1)|-\sum_{i=1}^{m}|u_i(r_1)|\\ &\geq C_{n,m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}(2^{j-1}r_1)^{2-\frac{n}{2}+\sqrt{n-1}}-\sum_{i=1}^{m}|u_i(r_1)|.\end{aligned}$$

This shows (22) for the case of $2\leq n<10$. From the above inequality, in dimension $n=10$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}|u_i(r)|\geq C_{n,m}(k-1)-\sum_{i=1}^{m}|u_i(r_1)|.$$

The fact that $k-1=\frac{\log r-\log r_1}{\log 2}$ finishes the proof.

□

4. Regularity results for symmetric systems

In this section, we consider system (3) when $\Omega=B_1$ where B_1 is the unit ball. Similar to the unbounded case, i. e. (5), a stable solution $u=(u_i)_i$ of system (3) when $\Omega=B_1$ satisfies the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_{B_1}\frac{u_i'^2}{\lambda_i}\phi^2 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_{B_1}\frac{u_i'^2}{\lambda_i}|\nabla(r\phi)|^2\\ &\quad+\sum_{i,j=1}^{m}\int_{B_1}\left(\partial_jH_i(u)-\sqrt{\partial_iH_j(u)\partial_jH_i(u)}\right)u_i'u_j'(r\phi)^2\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

for all $\phi\in C^{0,1}(B_1)\cap H_0^1(B_1)$. In addition, for symmetric systems the following inequality holds

$$(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_{B_1}\frac{u_i'^2}{\lambda_i}\phi^2\leq\sum_{i=1}^{m}\int_{B_1}\frac{u_i'^2}{\lambda_i}|\nabla(r\phi)|^2 \tag{32}$$

for all $\phi\in C^{0,1}(B_1)\cap H_0^1(B_1)$. The fact that H does not appear in this inequality enables us to show that the following regularity result holds for radial stable solutions of symmetric system (1). Note that similar results for the scaler case are provided in [28].

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $n\geq 2$, $m\geq 1$ and $u=(u_i)_i\in H^1(B_1)$ denotes a radial stable solution of symmetric system (3) where $\Omega=B_1$. Then, for any $r\in(0,1]$ we have*

(i) $\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|u_i(r)|}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} \leq C_{n,m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} ||u_i||_{H^1(B_1 \setminus \overline{B_{1/2}})}$, *provided* $n < 10$,

(ii) $\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|u_i(r)|}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} \leq C_{n,m}(1+|\log r|) \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} ||u_i||_{H^1(B_1 \setminus \overline{B_{1/2}})}$, *provided* $n = 10$,

(iii) $\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|u_i(r)|}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} \leq C_{n,m} r^{-\frac{n}{2}+\sqrt{n-1}+2} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} ||u_i||_{H^1(B_1 \setminus \overline{B_{1/2}})}$, *provided* $n > 10$,

where $C_{n,m}$ *is a positive constant independent from* r.

Proof. Let $u = (u_i)_i$ be a radial stable solution of symmetric system (3). Set the test function $\phi(|x|)$ to be the following for a fixed $r > 0$

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} r^{-\sqrt{n-1}-1} & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq r, \\ t^{-\sqrt{n-1}-1} & \text{if } r < t \leq 1/2, \\ 2^{\sqrt{n-1}+2}(1-t) & \text{if } 1/2 < t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that the following stability inequality holds,

$$(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^1 \frac{u_i'^2(t)}{\lambda_i} \phi^2(t) t^{n-1} dt \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^1 \frac{u_i'^2(t)}{\lambda_i} (t\phi(t))'^2 t^{n-1} dt. \tag{33}$$

Substitute ϕ into (33) and suppose that $0 < r < \frac{1}{2}$. Then from the fact that $(n-1)\phi^2(t) = (t\phi(t))'^2$ for $r < t < 1/2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^r \psi_n(t) \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{u_i'^2(t)}{\lambda_i} t^{n-1} dt &\leq -\int_{1/2}^1 \big((n-1)\phi^2(t) - (t\phi(t))'^2\big) \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{u_i'^2(t)}{\lambda_i} t^{n-1} dt \\ &\leq C_n \int_{1/2}^1 \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{u_i'^2(t)}{\lambda_i} t^{n-1} dt \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where $\psi_n(t) := \big((n-1)\phi^2(t) - (t\phi(t))'^2\big)$ and $C_n = ||\psi_n(t)||_{L^\infty([1/2,1])}$. Note that for $t \in [0,r]$ direct calculations show that $\psi_n(t) = (n-2)r^{-2\sqrt{n-1}-2}$. Therefore,

$$\int_0^r \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{u_i'^2(t)}{\lambda_i} t^{n-1} dt \leq C_n r^{2\sqrt{n-1}+2} \int_{1/2}^1 \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{u_i'^2(t)}{\lambda_i} t^{n-1} dt \tag{35}$$

provided $0 < r < \frac{1}{2}$ and $n > 2$. Similarly one can show that for all $0 < r < 1$ and $n \geq 2$, estimate (35) holds by taking the constant C_n sufficiently large if necessary. From (35) and by a direct calculation for any $r \in (0,1]$ and $n \geq 2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} |u_i(r) - u_i(\frac{r}{2})| &\leq \int_{r/2}^r \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} |u_i'(t)| t^{\frac{n-1}{2}} t^{\frac{1-n}{2}} dt \\ &\leq C_{n,m} \left(\int_{r/2}^r \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_i'(t)^2 t^{n-1} dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{r/2}^r t^{1-n} dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C_{n,m} r^{\sqrt{n-1}+2-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} ||\nabla u_i||_{L^2(B_1 \setminus \overline{B_{1/2}})}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{n,m}$ only depends on n and m. Now, let $0 < r \leq 1$ so there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1/2 < r_1 \leq 1$ such that $r = \frac{r_1}{2^{k-1}}$. The fact that $u = (u_i)_i$ is a radial solution, we

have $|u_i(r_1)| \leq ||u_i||_{L^\infty(B_1\setminus\overline{B_{1/2}})} \leq C_{i,n}||u_i||_{H^1(B_1\setminus\overline{B_{1/2}})}$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, m$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}|u_i(r)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}|u_i(r) - u_i(r_1)| + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}|u_i(r_1)| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |u_i(\frac{r_1}{2^{j-1}}) - u_i(\frac{r_1}{2^j})| + C_{n,m}\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}||u_i||_{H^1(B_1\setminus\overline{B_{1/2}})} \\
&\leq C_{n,m}\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(\frac{r_1}{2^{j-1}}\right)^{-n/2+\sqrt{n-1}+2}\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}||\nabla u_i||_{L^2(B_1\setminus\overline{B_{1/2}})} \\
&\quad + C_{n,m}\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}||u_i||_{H^1(B_1\setminus\overline{B_{1/2}})} \\
&\leq C_{n,m}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(\frac{r_1}{2^{j-1}}\right)^{-n/2+\sqrt{n-1}+2} + 1\right)\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}||u_i||_{H^1(B_1\setminus\overline{B_{1/2}})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the sign of $\sqrt{n-1} + 2 - \frac{n}{2}$ is crucial in deriving the estimates. Note that $\sqrt{n-1} + 2 - \frac{n}{2} = 0$ if and only if $n = 10$. Therefore, the dimension $n = 10$ is the critical dimension. From the above, for any $0 < r \leq 1$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}|u_i(r)| \leq C_n\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}||u_i||_{H^1(B_1\setminus\overline{B_{1/2}})},$$

provided $2 \leq n < 10$. Note that we have used the fact that $1/2 < r_1 \leq 1$ and also $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{2^{j-1}}\right)^{-n/2+\sqrt{n-1}+2}$ is convergent when $n < 10$. If $n = 10$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}|u_i(r)| \leq C_n k\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}||u_i||_{H^1(B_1\setminus\overline{B_{1/2}})}.$$

From the definition of k we have $k = \frac{\log r_1 - \log r}{\log 2} + 1$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}|u_i(r)| \leq C_n(1 + |\log r|)\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}||u_i||_{H^1(B_1\setminus\overline{B_{1/2}})},$$

where C_n is a large enough constant and depends only on n. Finally, when $n > 10$ we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(\frac{r_1}{2^{j-1}}\right)^{-n/2+\sqrt{n-1}+2} = C_n\left(r^{-n/2+\sqrt{n-1}+2} - r_1^{-n/2+\sqrt{n-1}+2}\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}|u_i(r)| \leq C_n r^{-\frac{n}{2}+\sqrt{n-1}+2}\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}||u_i||_{H^1(B_1\setminus\overline{B_{1/2}})},$$

where $r \in (0, 1]$. This finishes the proof.

□

Making an assumption on the sign of the nonlinearity $H(u)$ and its derivatives, we can prove the following pointwise estimates for derivatives of radial stable solutions of the symmetric system (1).

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\Omega = B_1$, $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$. Suppose that for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, $u_i \in H^1(B_1)$ is decreasing and $u = (u_i)_i$ is a stable radial solution of symmetric system (3) where $H_i(u) \geq 0$. Then the following estimate holds for $r \in (0, 1/2]$,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{|u_i'(r)|}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} \leq C_{n,m} r^{-\frac{n}{2}+\sqrt{n-1}+1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} ||\nabla u_i||_{L^2(B_1 \setminus \overline{B_{1/2}})}. \tag{36}$$

Moreover, if $\partial_j H_i(u) \geq 0$ where $i, j = 1, \cdots, m$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{|u_i''(r)|}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} \leq C_{n,m} r^{-\frac{n}{2}+\sqrt{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} ||\nabla u_i||_{L^2(B_1 \setminus \overline{B_{1/2}})}, \tag{37}$$

where $C_{n,m}$ is a positive constant independent from r.

Proof. Note that the radial function u_i satisfies $(-r^{n-1}u_i'(r))' = \lambda_i H_i(u) \geq 0$. From this and the fact that u_i is decreasing, we have $-r^{n-1}u_i'(r)$ is positive and nondecreasing. Moreover, the radial function $r^{2n-2}(u_i'(r))^2$ is positive and nondecreasing as well. So, for any $1 \leq i \leq m$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2r} t^{n-1}(u_i'(t))^2 dt &\geq \int_r^{2r} t^{n-1}(u_i'(t))^2 dt = \int_r^{2r} t^{2n-2}(u_i'(t))^2 t^{1-n} dt \\ &\geq r^{2n-2}(u_i'(r))^2 \int_r^{2r} t^{1-n} dt \geq C_n r^n (u_i'(r))^2, \end{aligned}$$

that gives us the following upper bound

$$|u_i'(r)| \leq C_n r^{-n/2} \left(\int_0^{2r} t^{n-1}(u_i'(t))^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

Taking sum on all values of $1 \leq i \leq m$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{|u_i'(r)|}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} &\leq C_n r^{-n/2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\int_0^{2r} t^{n-1} \frac{(u_i'(t))^2}{\lambda_i} dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{m} C_n r^{-n/2} \left(\int_0^{2r} t^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{(u_i'(t))^2}{\lambda_i} dt \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

From this and the estimate (35), in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{|u_i'(r)|}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} &\leq \sqrt{m} C_n r^{-n/2+\sqrt{n-1}+1} \left(\int_{1/2}^{1} t^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{(u_i'(t))^2}{\lambda_i} dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C_{n,m} r^{-n/2+\sqrt{n-1}+1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} ||\nabla u_i||_{L^2(B_1 \setminus \overline{B_{1/2}})}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of (36). To prove (37), define $v_i(r) = -nr^{1-1/n}u_i'(r^{1/n})$ for $r \in (0, 1]$. It is easy to see that $v_i'(r) = -\Delta u_i(r^{1/n}) = \lambda_i H_i(u(r^{1/n}))$. Therefore, v_i is a nonnegative nondecreasing function. Note also that

$$v_i''(r) = \lambda_i \sum_{j=1}^{m} \partial_j H_i(u(r^{1/n})) u_j(r^{1/n}) \frac{r^{1/n-1}}{n} \leq 0.$$

Therefore, v_i is a concave function. This implies that $0 \leq v_i'(r) \leq \frac{v_i(r)}{r}$ for $r \in (0, 1]$ that is

$$0 \leq -u_i''(r^{1/n}) - (n-1)r^{-1/n}u_i'(r^{1/n}) \leq -nr^{-1/n}u_i'(r^{1/n}).$$

Simplifying the above we get

$$r^{-1/n}u_i'(r^{1/n}) \leq u_i''(r^{1/n}) \leq -(n-1)r^{-1/n}u_i'(r^{1/n})$$

that gives us $|u_i''(r)| \leq (n-1)\frac{|u_i'(r)|}{r}$ for any $r \in (0,1]$. This finishes the proof of (37).

□

References

[1] G. Alberti, L. Ambrosio, and X. Cabré, *On a long-standing conjecture of E. De Giorgi: symmetry in 3D for general nonlinearities and a local minimality property*, Acta Appl. Math. 65 (2001), 9-33.

[2] L. Ambrosio and X. Cabré, *Entire solutions of semilinear elliptic equations in* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *and a conjecture of De Giorgi*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (2000), 725-739.

[3] H. Berestycki, L. Caffarelli, and L. Nirenberg, *Further qualitative properties for elliptic equations in unbounded domains*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. 25 (1997), 69-94.

[4] H. Brezis, T. Cazenave, Y. Martel, A. Ramiandrisoa, *Blow up for* $u_t - \Delta u = g(u)$ *revisited*, Adv. Differential Equations 1 (1996) 73-90.

[5] H. Brezis, J.L. Vázquez, *Blow-up solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems*, Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid 10 (1997) 443-469.

[6] X. Cabré, *Regularity of minimizers of semilinear elliptic problems up to dimension four*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 63 (2010), no. 10, 1362-1380.

[7] X. Cabré, A. Capella; *On the stability of radial solutions of semi-linear elliptic equations in all of* $\mathbb{R}^n$, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 338 (2004) 769-774.

[8] X. Cabré and A. Capella, *Regularity of radial minimizers and extremal solutions of semilinear elliptic equations*, J. Funct. Anal. 238 (2006), no. 2, 709–733.

[9] X. Cabré, X. Ros-Oton, *Regularity of stable solutions up to dimension 7 in domains of double revolution*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 38 (2013), no. 1, 135-154.

[10] C. Cowan, *Regularity of the extremal solutions in a Gelfand system problem*, Advanced Nonlinear Studies, Vol. 11, No. 3 , p. 695 Aug., 2011.

[11] C. Cowan, M. Fazly, *Regularity of the extremal solutions associated to elliptic systems*, J. Differential Equations 257 (2014) 4087-4107.

[12] M.G. Crandall and P.H. Rabinowitz, *Some continuation and variation methods for positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 58 (1975), pp.207-218.

[13] E. De Giorgi, *Convergence problems for functional and operators*, Proceedings of the International Meeting on Recent Methods in Nonlinear Analysis (Rome, 1978), pp. 131-188, Pitagora, Bologna, 1979.

[14] L. Dupaigne, A. Farina, *Stable solutions of* $-\Delta u = f(u)$ *in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 12 (2010), no. 4, 855-882.

[15] L. Dupaigne, A. Farina, B. Sirakov, *Regularity of the extremal solutions for the Liouville system*, Geometric Partial Differential Equations proceedings, CRM Series Volume 15, 2013, pp 139-144.

[16] P. Esposito, N. Ghoussoub, and Y. Guo; *Mathematical analysis of partial differential equations modeling electrostatic MEMS*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 20. Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.

[17] P. Esposito, N. Ghoussoub and Y. Guo, *Compactness along the branch of semistable and unstable solutions for an elliptic problem with a singular nonlinearity*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 60 (2007), no. 12, 1731-1768.

[18] A. Farina; *On the classification of solutions of the Lane-Emden equation on unbounded domains of* $\mathbb{R}^n$, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 87 (2007), no. 5, 537-561.

[19] A. Farina; *Stable solutions of* $-\Delta u = e^u$ *on* $\mathbb{R}^n$, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 345 (2007), no. 2, 63-66.

[20] M. Fazly, N. Ghoussoub, *De Giorgi type results for elliptic systems*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 47 (2013) 809-823.

[21] M. Ghergu, V. Radulescu, *Singular Elliptic Problems: Bifurcation and Asymptotic Analysis*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 37, Oxford University Press, 2008.

[22] N. Ghoussoub, C. Gui, *On a conjecture of De Giorgi and some related problems*, Math. Ann. 311 (1998), no. 3, 481-491.

[23] L. Karp, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of elliptic equations I: Liouville-type theorems for linear and nonlinear equations on $\mathbb{R}^n$, J. Analyse Math. 39 (1981) 75-102.

[24] L. Karp, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of elliptic equations II: Analogues of Liouville's theorem for solutions of inequalities on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, J. Analyse Math. 39 (1981) 103-115.

[25] M. Montenegro, *Minimal solutions for a class of elliptic systems*, Bull. London Math. Soc.**37** (2005) 405-416.

[26] L. Moschini, *New Liouville theorems for linear second order degenerate elliptic equations in divergence form*, Ann. I. H. Poincaré - AN 22 (2005) 11-23.

[27] G. Nedev, *Regularity of the extremal solution of semilinear elliptic equations,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math. 330 (2000), no. 11, 997–1002.

[28] S. Villegas, *Sharp estimates for semi-stable radial solutions of semilinear elliptic equations*, Journal of Functional Analysis 262 (2012) 3394-3408.

[29] S. Villegas; *Asymptotic behavior of stable radial solutions of semilinear elliptic equations in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 88 (2007), no. 3, 241-250.

[30] S. Villegas; *Boundedness of extremal solutions in dimension 4*, Advances in Mathematics 235 (2013) 126-133.